United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,984	09/19/2003	Christopher McGee	020375-042800US	5376
20350 75	7590 11/08/2006		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND	AND TOWNSEND AN	FUREMAN, JARED		
TWO EMBARO	CADERO CENTER			
EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/665,984	MCGEE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Jared J. Fureman	2876			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
Status						
1\⊠	Passonsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	ravet 2006				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	-				
	,–					
ا_(د	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-9,12,15-20,23-31,33-36,41-50,67</u> ar	nd 74-78 is/are pending in the app	olication			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,12,15-20,23-31,33-36,41-50,67 and 74-78</u> is/are rejected.					
	<u> </u>					
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) 05 (6)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment	` '					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						
	•					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment, filed on 8/31/2006, which has been entered in the file. Claims 1-9, 12, 15-20, 23-31, 33-36, 41-50, 67 and 74-78 are pending.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 31 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 31, line 6: "the" should be replaced with --a--, in order to avoid a lack of proper antecedent basis for "the front or back side".

Claim 31, line 7: --portion-- should be inserted after the first occurrence of "bottom", in order to correspond with "bottom portion" as recited in lines 3-4.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 67 and 74-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding claim 67, the original disclosure does not teach the specific dimensions of the protrusion, that is "extending no more than about ½ of an inch and at least 1/8 of an inch away from the top edge", as recited in lines 6-7, of claim 67. It is noted that these limitations were added to claim 67 in the amendment dated July 15, 2005.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,984

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 23, 24, 26, 28-30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yen (US 2002/0066789 A1, previously cited) in view of Fujimoto (US 2004/0080784 A1, previously cited).

Re claims 23, 24, 26, 28, 29 and 35: Yen teaches a financial presentation instrument with an integrated holder comprising: a rectangular shaped data card (substrate 10, figures 1-5) having an information storage medium (value added chip 15, figure 3; magnetic strip 16, figure 4; bar code 17, figure 5; for example) that is

Art Unit: 2876

configured to store a unique identifier (a credit card, personal identification card, or bank card, see paragraph 22, will store a unique identifier) and at least one aperture (central hole 11, figure 1 and paragraph 17) therethrough for suspending the data card from a display hook (the central hole 11, as shown in figures 1-4, is clearly capable of suspending the data card from a display hook, therefore this functional limitation does not structurally define applicant's invention over Yen), wherein the at least one aperture is located on a central portion of the data card (see central hole 11, figures 1-5). wherein the data card further comprises a back side with the information storage medium located thereon (the side shown in figures 3-5 can be considered a back side), a front side (the side shown in figure 1 can be considered a front side), and the aperture (central hole 11) is at least 1/16 of an inch from outside edges of the card and the information storage medium (see figures 1-5); wherein the aperture comprises a circular shape (the central hole 11 is circular, see figures 1-5); wherein the data card comprises a stored value card (a telephone card, public transportation ticket, bank card, etc., represented a stored value, see paragraphs 21 and 22); wherein the information storage medium comprises a magnetic stripe (magnetic strip 16, figure 4 and paragraph 22); wherein the information storage medium comprises a bar code (bar code 17, figure 5 and paragraph 23); (also see figures 1-5, paragraphs 7, 16, 17, 19-24).

Yen fails to specifically teach a live copy limit that is within about 3/32 of an inch from outside edges of the card; the aperture being at least 1/16 of an inch from the live copy limit.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,984

Art Unit: 2876

Fujimoto teaches providing a data card with a live copy limit (a safety margin, the difference between disposition allowable region 41 and print securing region 40, see figure 12 and paragraph 50) that is within about 3/32 of an inch from outside edges of the card (0.5 mm per side is within 3/32 of an inch) (also see figures 12, 14, 17A, 17B, paragraphs 50 and 86-89). Since Fujimoto teaches the live copy limit (the safety margin) being around the edges of the card and Yen teaches the aperture being in the center of the card, the combination of Yen and Fujimoto will result in the aperture being at least about 1/16 of an inch from the live copy limit.

In view of Fujimoto's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the data card as taught by Yen, a live copy limit that is within about 3/32 of an inch from outside edges of the card; the aperture being at least 1/16 of an inch from the live copy limit; in order to ensure that there is no lack of printing due to print deviation errors (see paragraph 50, of Fujimoto).

Re claim 30: The teachings of Yen as modified by Fujimoto have been discussed above. Yen also teaches that the data card comprises a credit card (see paragraph 22).

Yen fails to specifically state wherein the card has dimensions that are about 2 1/8 inches by about 3 3/8 inches.

However, at the time of the invention it was old and well known to those of ordinary skill in the art that a standard credit card has dimensions that are about 2 1/8 inches by about 3 3/8 inches.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the data card as taught by Yen as modified by Fujimoto, wherein the card has dimensions that are about 2 1/8 inches by about 3 3/8 inches; in order for the card to be compatible with standard credit card readers, thereby eliminating the need for a specialized credit card reader and the inherent costs associated therewith.

6. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yen as modified by Fujimoto and further in view of Duroj (US 2002/0006103 A1, previously cited).

The teachings of Yen as modified by Fujimoto have been discussed above.

Yen as modified by Fujimoto fails to specifically teach an additional aperture through the data card.

Duroj teaches a data card (card 1, figure 1) comprising an aperture (3, figures 1-3) located on a central portion of the data card and an additional aperture (8, figure 3) through the data card (see figures 1-3, paragraphs 7, 12, 13, 18 and 20).

In view of Duroj's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the data card as taught by Yen as modified by Fujimoto, an additional aperture through the data card; in order to allow the data card to be attached to a carrying device or the like (see paragraph 20, of Duroj).

7. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yen as modified by Fujimoto and further in view of the admitted prior art.

The teachings of Yen as modified by Fujimoto have been discussed above.

Yen as modified by Fujimoto fails to specifically teach wherein the data card comprises a gift card.

The admitted prior art teaches that gift cards were old and well known to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention (see paragraph 2 of applicant's specification).

In view of the admitted prior art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the data card as taught by Yen as modified by Fujimoto, wherein the data card comprises a gift card; in order to increase demand and sales of the data card by allowing the data card to function as a gift card. Furthermore, the data card comprising a gift card represents an intended use of the data card, which fails to structurally define applicant's invention over Yen as modified by Fujimoto (for example, the structure of Yen is capable of functioning as a gift card).

8. Claims 31, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blank (US 7,032,817, previously cited) in view of Fujimoto.

Blank teaches a financial presentation instrument (transaction card assembly 1, figure 4) with an integrated holder comprising: a data card having a circular shaped portion (the auxiliary portion 20 in figure 4) and a rectangular shaped bottom portion

Page 8

(the card portion 10 in figure 4) having an information storage medium (while not shown in figure 4, Blank teaches that the card portion 10 includes an information storage. media, see column 2, lines 12-15; column 5, lines 38-44; column 6, lines 34-41; and column 7, lines 20-25) that is configured to store a unique identifier (a credit card number and/or account number, see column 6, lines 34-41, will be a unique identifier) and at least one centrally spaced aperture (figure 4 shows the card assembly 1 having an aperture centrally spaced in auxiliary portion 20) therethrough for suspending the data card from a display hook (the aperture, as shown in figure 4, is clearly capable of suspending the data card from a display hook, therefore this functional limitation does not structurally define applicant's invention over Blank), wherein the rectangular shaped bottom portion has the information storage medium displayed thereon (see column 2. lines 12-15; column 6, lines 34-41; and column 7, lines 20-25) and the diameter of the circular shaped portion is less than a longest length of the rectangular shaped bottom portion (see figure 4); wherein the data card comprises a stored value card (see column 2, lines 47-49); wherein the data card comprises a gift card (see column 2, lines 47-49) (also see column 1, lines 18-20; column 2, lines 12-15, 47-53; column 5, lines 38-45, 55-63; column 6, lines 34-41; column 7, lines 20-25; and column 8, lines 32-41).

Blank fails to specifically teach wherein a live copy limit is located on the front or back side of the card at about 3/32 of an inch from the bottom and both side portions of the rectangular shaped bottom portion.

The teachings of Fujimoto have been discussed above.

In view of Fujimoto's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the data card as taught by Blank, wherein a live copy limit is located on the front or back side of the card at about 3/32 of an inch from the bottom and both side portions of the rectangular shaped bottom portion, in order to ensure that there is no lack of printing due to print deviation errors (see paragraph 50, of Fujimoto).

9. Claims 1-9, 12, 15-20, 36, 41-50, 67 and 74-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yen as modified by Fujimoto and further in view of Biller (US 2004/0182940 A1, previously cited).

Re claims 1, 3-9, 12, 15-20, 36, 41, 42, 45-50, 67 and 74-78: The teachings of Yen as modified by Fujimoto have been discussed above. Yen also teaches the data card (substrate 10) comprising top and bottom flat portions and two side flat portions (see figures 1-5); regarding the claimed 3 3/8 inches long by 2 1/8 inches long, see Yen as modified by Fujimoto as applied to claim 30 above. Note that in applying Yen as modified by Fujimoto to claims 12-16, the central hole 11 can be considered an additional aperture, the additional aperture being circular.

Yen as modified by Fujimoto fails to specifically teach at least one J-peg shaped aperture; an additional aperture through the data card; wherein the data card comprises a gift card; a method for displaying a financial presentation instrument with an integrated holder comprising: providing a display hook and suspending the data card from the display hook through the at least one aperture; wherein the aperture is located on a top

Art Unit: 2876

portion of the data card; wherein the aperture is located on a side portion of the data card; a protrusion extending no more than about ½ of an inch and at least 1/8 of an inch away from the top edge, at least one aperture through the protrusion.

Biller teaches a data card (120, figure 3) comprising a J-peg shaped aperture (display hole 80, figure 3) therethrough for suspending the data card from a display hook (not shown, see paragraph 38); wherein the data card comprises a gift card (see paragraphs 2 and 5); and a method for displaying a financial presentation instrument with an integrated holder comprising: providing a display hook (not shown) and suspending the data card from the display hook through the at least one aperture (see paragraph 38); wherein the aperture is located on a top portion of the data card (see figure 3); wherein the aperture is located on a side portion of the data card (the top of the card is also one of the sides of the card, see figure 3); a protrusion extending no more than about ½ of an inch and at least 1/8 of an inch away from the top edge (In figure 3 of Biller et al, the disc 120 can be considered as having a top flat edge with a protrusion extending from the top flat edge, like applicant's figure 10K. While Biller et al does not specifically teach extending no more than ½ of an inch and at least 1/8 of an inch, it is believed that figure 3 suggests this to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention), at least one aperture (80) through the protrusion (compare Biller et al's figure 3 to applicant's figure 10K). Note that Biller teaches/suggests the apertures are spaced from the edges of the card, thus the combination of Yen as modified by Fujimoto and Biller still suggests the aperture(s) being 1/16 of an inch from each other, the live copy limit and the information storage medium.

In view of Biller's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the data card as taught by Yen as modified by Fujimoto, at least one J-peg shaped aperture; an additional aperture through the data card; wherein the data card comprises a gift card; a method for displaying a financial presentation instrument with an integrated holder comprising: providing a display hook and suspending the data card from the display hook through the at least one aperture; wherein the aperture is located on a top portion of the data card; wherein the aperture is located on a side portion of the data card; a protrusion extending no more than about ½ of an inch and at least 1/8 of an inch away from the top edge, at least one aperture through the protrusion; in order to allow a more stable mounting of the data card, using the J-peg shape, on display hooks.

Re claims 2, 43 and 44: The teachings of Yen as modified by Fujimoto and Biller have been discussed above. Biller also teaches the aperture located on a top portion of the data card.

Yen as modified by Fujimoto and Biller fails to specifically teach providing an additional display hook and suspending the data card from the additional display hook through the additional aperture; wherein the pair of apertures are located on a top portion of the data card.

However, at the time of the invention it was old and well known to those of ordinary skill in the art to display retail products by hanging the products from two (or more) display hooks through corresponding apertures.

Art Unit: 2876

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include, with the data card and method as taught by Yen as modified by Fujimoto and Biller, providing an additional display hook and suspending the data card from the additional display hook through the additional aperture; wherein the pair of apertures are located on a top portion of the data card; in order to provide a more stable mounting of the data card to the display.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 15-20, 23-31, 33-36, 41-50, 67 and 74-78 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As discussed above, Yen, Duroj and Blank teach a data cards having an aperture, and Fujimoto teaches a data card including a live copy limit.
- 11. Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/2006, regarding claim 67, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that claim 67 recites a top flat edge measuring about 3 3/8 inches with a protrusion extending no more than about ½ of an inch and at least 1/8 of an inch away from the top edge (see page 11, of the amendment filed on 8/31/2006). However, as discussed in the 112 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 67 above, it is believed that applicants do not have support in the original disclosure for the claimed "extending no more than about ½ of an inch and at least 1/8 of an inch away from the top edge". Page 11 of the specification does not teach any dimensions for the protrusion and the drawings to not contain any dimensions either. Furthermore, it is

unclear as to how the card can have a flat top edge with a protrusion. If the edge has a protrusion, then the edge is not entirely flat. In addition, applicant's figure 10K teaches an elongated protrusion 88, that extends along the entire top edge of the card. Thus, this embodiment can be considered a card that has a flat top edge (the edge that would complete the rectangle) with a protrusion that protrudes along the entire top edge. In figure 3 of Biller et al, the disc 120 can be considered as having a top flat edge with a protrusion extending from the top flat edge, like applicant's figure 10K.

Page 13

Applicants argue that it is suggested that the dimensions set forth in the specification, specifically with reference to figures 10A-10L, teach the claimed dimensions of the protrusion (see page 12, of the amendment filed on 8/31/2006). However, figures 10A-10L do not include a dimensions and page 8 of the specification only states that the card has a reduced length and height, typically less than 3 3/8 inches and 2 1/8 inches, respectfully. There is no teaching of the claimed dimensions of the protrusion.

In addition, applicants are reminded that functional language (for example, "for suspending the data card from a display hook", as recited in independent claims 1, 12, 23, 31, 35 and 67) does not define the invention over the prior art, when the prior art discloses the claimed structural limitations and is capable of performing the recited function (see MPEP 2114). In this case, the apertures of Yen, Duroj and Blank are capable of suspending the data card from a display hook.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,984

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

Page 14

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jared J. Fureman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2391. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:30 PM M-T, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jared J. Fureman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

November 2, 2006